

**Developmental Planning in Manipur:
Pattern of the Power Sharing of State Government
during 1999-2023**

Dr Th Munindro Singh¹

Abstract:

Manipur is one of the Border States in the north-eastern part of the country having an international boundary of about 352 kms. long stretch of land with Myanmar on the east. It has a total area of 22327 sq kms of which nine-tenth consists of hills and only one-tenth comprises the valley. The locational disadvantages, topography, land lockness, natural resource base makes the state economically backward. Manipur is inhabited by three major ethnic groups viz (i) Meitei/Meetei, (ii) Nagas and (iii) kuki-Chin tribes. The Meitei pangals (Meitei-Muslims) constitutes as a minority community. Besides these, other communities from the other states of India have also settled in the state. The present paper makes an attempt to estimate the distribution of administrative power to different categories viz (i) Meitei/Meetei(M), (ii) Scheduled Tribes/Tribal(T)(Nagas and kuki-Chin tribes together), (iii) Meitei Pangal (MP), (iv) Manipuri Nepali (MN) and (v) Outsiders(O) during the period of twenty-five years starting from 1999 to 2023 . The study concludes that (i) Scheduled Tribe community participated well in the Government administration sharing a reasonable power ratio during the period and the Outsiders played important pivotal role in the Government administration.

Keywords: Scheduled Tribes, Nagas, kuki-Chin tribes, Meitei/Meetei, Meitei Pangal, Manipuri Nepali, Heads of Departments, Deputy Commissioners, Administrative Secretaries, Chief Secretary, State Ministers, Cabinet Ministers, Chief Minister, etc.

¹The author is working as Head, FTSU, Manipur Unit, NEDFi. He completed M.Sc. (Maths) from NEHU, Shillong. He obtained Diploma in Development Planning and Policy (DDPP) from the Institute of Economic Growths, Delhi (1991) and Ph.D in Economics from Economics Department, Manipur University in Regional Economics(2001).He retired as Director, Planning, Govt of Manipur(2020).He worked as Secretary,3rd and 4th State Finance Commissions, Manipur. He is a member of Ima Leishem Inaat Loishang (ILIL), Imphal and a devotee of Lajoiba Panthou. View expressed is personal and not necessarily the view of the organization where he belongs.

Introduction:

Manipur is one of the Border States in the north-eastern part of the country having an international boundary of about 352 kms. long stretch of land with Myanmar on the east. Manipur merged with the Union of India in 1949 as a Part 'C' State and became a Union Territory in 1963. It became a full-fledged State in 1972. Because of its strategic location with a low tax base, it was categorized as a Special Category State. It has a total area of 22327 sq kms of which nine-tenth consists of hills and only one-tenth comprises the valley. The locational disadvantages, topography, land lockness, natural resource base makes the state economically backward. The State is connected by air with Delhi, Calcutta, etc. However, roads continue to be the most important transport system. Two National Highways NH-39(NH-2) and NH-53 connect the State with the rest of the country. NH-39(NH-2) links Imphal with the railway head of Dimapur in Nagaland to the north & NH-53 with Silchar in Assam towards the south west. Imphal-Jiri railway line is in the final stage of completion. Transport system in the State will have a boost when this railway line is fully operational.

Population composition of the State:

Manipur is inhabited by three major ethnic groups viz (i) Meitei/Meetei, (ii) Nagas and (iii) kuki-Chin tribes. The Meitei pangals (Meitei-Muslims) constitutes as a minority community. Besides these, other communities from the other states of India have also settled in the state. The population composition by the classification of religion for the period 1951-2011 are given in the Table-1 & Table-1.1

Table-1: TREND OF POPULATION COMPOSITION BY RELIGION IN MANIPUR (1951-2011)

(Unit:in nos)

Sl No	Religion	1951	1961	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	Hinduism	347325	481112	632597	853180	1059470	996894	1181876
2	Sikhism	50	523	1028	992	1301	1653	1527
3	Jainism	150	778	1408	975	1337	1461	1692
4	Buddhism	33	325	495	473	711	1926	7084
5	Islam	37197	48588	70969	99327	133535	190939	239836
6	Christianity	68394	152043	279243	421702	626669	737578	1179043
7	Other	124486	0	83167	35490	14066	235280	233767
8	Religion not stated	0	96668	3846	8814	60	1057	10969
	Total population	577635	780037	1072753	1420953	1837149	2166788	2855794

Source: STATISTICAL HANDBOOK OF MANIPUR 2017, PP/32-33

Table-1.1: TREND OF POPULATION COMPOSITION BY RELIGION IN MANIPUR (1951-2011)

(Unit: in pc)

Sl No	Religion	1951	1961	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	Hinduism	60.13	61.68	58.97	60.04	57.67	46.01	41.39
2	Sikhism	0.01	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.05
3	Jainism	0.03	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06
4	Buddhism	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.09	0.25
5	Islam	6.44	6.23	6.62	6.99	7.27	8.81	8.40
6	Christianity	11.84	19.49	26.03	29.68	34.11	34.04	41.29
7	Other	21.55	0.00	7.75	2.50	0.77	10.86	8.19
8	Religion not stated	0.00	12.39	0.36	0.62	0.00	0.05	0.38
	Total population	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Source: Calculated from Table-1

From the Table-1.1 it is seen that Hinduism population declined from 60.13 % in the year 1951 to 41.39% in the year 2011. The Christianity population increased from 11.84 % in the year 1951 to 41.29% in the year 2011.

Pattern of Power Sharing in Manipur:

The present paper makes an attempt to estimate the distribution of administrative power to different categories viz (i) Meitei/Meetei(M), (ii) Scheduled Tribes/Tribal(T), (iii) Meitei Pangal (MP), (iv) Manipuri Nepali (MN) and (v) Outsiders(O). Period of twenty-five years starting from 1999 to 2023 is covered in the study.

Methodology adopted are:

Fundamental:

- i. Council of Ministers, MLAs, Chief Secretary, Administrative Secretaries, Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners are assigned certain weightages to calculate aggregate scores.
- ii. The categories classified are Meitei(M), Tribal(T), Meitei Pangal (MP), Manipuri Nepali (MN) and Outsiders(O).
- iii. Sum of the scores contributed by the Council of Ministers, MLAs, Chief Secretary, Administrative Secretaries, Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners are used as the aggregate score for each category.
- iv. List of the Ministers, MLAs, Administrative Secretaries, HoDs and Deputy Commissions recorded in the Government Diaries published by the Information and Publicity Department, Government of Manipur are mainly used as data source. This has been done to maintain data consistency and also to ensure reliability of data source. Apart from that published and unpublished records of the Government of Manipur are also referred to ensure data/record accuracy as far as possible. However, approximation error may still be built in the analysis. Nevertheless, maximum care has taken to avoid avoidable errors.
- v. Assigning of weightages in the present study for different categories has been done to arrive at some empirical values guided by the **principle of path analysis**.
- vi. It has been assumed that duties and responsibilities defined in the conduct rules and procedures of the State Government are strictly maintained by the concerned incumbents. Further, it has also assumed that no external factors influenced in making policy decisions.

Assigning weightages for Heads of Departments:

- vii. In the present study Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners are treated as the lowest level strata in the power sharing hierarchy.

- viii. Heads of Departments of Twenty-eight departments are chosen in the study. List of the selected 28 departments is given in the Annexure. Departments with higher annual plan provisions are selected. Departments with small/marginal plan provisions are excluded. The total annual plan provision of the selected 28 departments accounts more than 90% of the total annual plan provision. Home Department though budget provision is large is excluded in the present study as the primary objective of the study is focused on economic development.
- ix. Weightage assigned for a Head of Department (HoD) for a month is 2.
- x. If the HOD is from Meitei community then a score of value 2 is awarded to Meitei. Similarly, if the HOD is from Tribal community then a score of value 2 is awarded to Tribal. Similarly, if one HoD is identified as Meitei-Pangal/Manipuri-Nepali, then a score of value 2 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal/ Manipuri-Nepali.
- xi. The total weight assigned for a year is 24(2X12).
- xii. It is also assumed that the incumbent Head of the Department appearing in the Diary holds the post for that year.

Assigning weightages for Deputy Commissioners:

- xiii. Weightage assigned for a Deputy Commissioner for a month is 2.
- xiv. If the Deputy Commissioner is from Meitei community, then a score of value 2 is awarded to Meitei. Similarly, if the Deputy Commissioner is from Tribal community, then a score of value 2 is awarded to Tribal. Similarly, if a Deputy Commissioner is identified as Meitei-Pangal/Manipuri-Nepali, then a score of value 2 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal/ Manipuri-Nepali.
- xv. The total weight assigned for a year is 24(2X12).
- xvi. Some approximations are done as (i) if joined before 15th of a month, one month will be counted, if joined after 15th of the months then nil month will be counted, and will be accrued in the tenure of outgoing Deputy Commissioner.

Assigning weightages for Administrative Secretaries:

- xvii. After Head of Department (HoD), next higher hierarchy in the power sharing pattern in the present study is Administrative Secretary other than Chief Secretary. Secretary/Commissioner/Principal Secretary/ Additional Chief Secretary are assigned same weightage.
- xviii. Weightage assigned for an Administrative Secretary for a month is 4. The reason being- any proposal of HoD may be (i) approved or (ii) declined. There is another provision namely “send down with please discuss”. However, this provision is excluded as this will finally will arrive at (i) approved or (ii) declined. As the administrative Secretary has two clear choices over the proposal of the HoD concerned, weightage assigned to any Administrative Secretary is $2 \times 2 = 4$ for a month. Thus, the assigned weightage for an Administrative Secretary for a year 48(4X12).

- xix. If the Administrative Secretary is from Meitei community, then a score of value 4 is awarded to Meitei for a month. Similarly, if the Administrative Secretary is from Tribal community, then a score of value 4 is awarded to Tribal for a month. Similarly, if identified as Meitei-Pangal/Manipuri-Nepali, then a score of value 4 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal/ Manipuri-Nepali for a month.
- xx. The role of other Secretariat Officers like Addl Secretary, Joint Secretary, Under Secretary, etc attached/reported to the Administrative Secretary has been subsumed in the administrative authority of the concerned Administrative Secretary. If weightages are assigned, it is alleged that double counting effect may be built in the allotted weights.
- xxi. List of the Secretary/Commissioner/Pr Secretary/Adl Chief Secretary appearing in the DIPR Diary are adopted as the incumbent Administrative Secretary for the year. It is also assumed that the incumbent Administrative Secretary appearing in the Diary holds the post for that year.

Assigning weightages for Chief Secretary:

- xxii. Next higher hierarchy in the power sharing pattern is the Chief Secretary.
- xxiii. Weightage assigned for Chief Secretary for a month is 8(4X2). The reason being- any proposal of Administrative Secretary may be (i) approved or (ii) declined. There is another provision namely “send down with please discuss”. However, this provision is excluded as this will finally will arrive at (i) approved or (ii) declined as explained in previous para. As the Chief Secretary has two clear choices over the proposal of the Administrative Secretaries, weightage value of (4X2) =8 is assigned for a month. Thus, the assigned weightage for Chief Secretary for a year 96(8X12).
- xxiv. If the Chief Secretary is from Meitei community, then a score of value 8 is awarded to Meitei for a month. Similarly, if the Chief Secretary is from Tribal community, then a score of value 8 is awarded to Tribal for a month. Similarly, if the Chief Secretary is from Outside the State, then a score of value 8 is awarded to Outsider for a month.
- xxv. The role of other Secretariat Officers like Addl Secretary, Joint Secretary, Under Secretary, Staff Officer, etc attached to the office of Chief Secretary has been subsumed in the administrative authority of the Chief Secretary.
- xxvi. List of the State Chief Secretaries with their post holding periods available in published and unpublished records are used to calculate the holding period in months. Some approximations are carried out as (i) If joined before 15 of a month, one month will be counted, if joined after 15 of a month then nil month will be counted, and will be counted in the tenure of outgoing Chief Secretary.

Assigning weightages for MLAs:

- xxvii. Next higher hierarchy in this power sharing pattern is the MLAs. An MLA is assigned weightage of 10 for a month. The reason being- the derived and assigned weightage of Chief Secretary for a month is 8 and MLAs are superior to the Chief Secretary in the Government. Thus, the assigned weightage assumed for an MLA for a year is 120(10X12).
- xxviii. If an MLA is from Meitei community, then a score of value 10 is awarded to Meitei for a month. Similarly, if the MLA is from Tribal community, then a score of value 10 is awarded to Tribal for a month. Similarly, if the MLA is from Meitei-Pangal (MP), then a score of value 10 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal (MP) for a month, etc.

Assigning weightages for State Ministers:

- xxix. Next higher hierarchy in the power sharing pattern is the State Minister. A State Minister is assigned weightage of 12 for a month.
- xxx. A State Minister and a State Minister (independent charge) are assigned same weightage of 12 for a month.
- xxxi. If a State Minister is from Meitei community, then a score of value 12 is awarded to Meitei for a month. Similarly, if a State Minister is from Tribal community, then a score of value 12 is awarded to Tribal for a month. Similarly, if a State Minister is from Meitei-Pangal (MP), then a score of value 12 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal (MP) for a month, etc.
- xxxii. Thus, the assigned weightage for a state Minister for a year is 144 (12X12).

Assigning weightages for Cabinet Ministers:

- xxxiii. Next higher hierarchy in the power sharing pattern is the Cabinet, Minister. A Cabinet Minister is assigned weightage of $8 \times 2 = 16$ for a month. The reason being- any proposal of Chief Secretary may be (i) approved or (ii) declined. There is another provision namely "send down with please discuss". However, this provision is excluded as this will finally will arrive at (i) approved or (ii) declined as explained in previous paras. As the Cabinet Minister has two clear choices over the proposal of the Chief Secretary, weightage value of $(8 \times 2) = 16$ is assigned for a month.
- xxxiv. The role of other Secretariat Officers, etc attached to a Cabinet Minister has been subsumed in the administrative authority of the Cabinet Minister. It is to mention that Chief Secretary put up file to Cabinet Minister, if the Chief Secretary is the concerned administrative Secretary in charge.
- xxxv. If a Cabinet Minister is from Meitei community, then a score of value 16 is awarded to Meitei for a month. Similarly, if a Cabinet Minister is from Tribal community, then a

score of value 16 is awarded to Tribal for a month. Similarly, if a Cabinet Minister is from Meitei-Pangal (MP), then a score of value 16 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal (MP) for a month, etc.

xxxvi. Thus, the assigned weightage for a Cabinet Minister for a year is 192 (16X12).

Assigning weightages for Chief Minister:

xxxvii. Chief Minister is in the top in the power sharing hierarchy in the present study. Chief Minister is assigned weightage of $16 \times 2 = 32$ for a month. The reason being- any proposal of Cabinet Minister may be (i) approved or (ii) declined. There is another provision namely “send down with please discuss”. However, this provision is excluded as this will finally arrive at (i) approved or (ii) declined as explained in previous paras. As the Chief Minister has two clear choices over the proposal of the Cabinet Minister, weightage value of $32(16 \times 2)$ is assigned. The role of other Officers, etc attached to the office of the Chief Minister has been subsumed in the administrative authority of the Chief Minister.

xxxviii. If the Chief Minister is from Meitei community, then a score of value 32 is awarded to Meitei for a month. Similarly, if the Chief Minister is from Tribal community, then a score of value 32 is awarded to Tribal for a month. Similarly, if the Chief Minister is from Meitei-Pangal (MP), then a score of value 32 is awarded to Meitei-Pangal (MP) for a month, etc.

xxxix. Thus, the assigned weightage for Chief Minister for a year is 384 (32X12).

Error Analysis:

a. Ministers including Chief Minister:

Generally, Manipur Legislative Assembly elections were held during January-March of the election year. Months during which elections were held during 1995-2022 for Manipur Legislative Assembly are given in the Table-2 below.

Table-2: YEARS OF ELECTION HELD FOR MANIPUR LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY (1995-2022)

Sl No	Year	Series	Month(s) held
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)
1	1995	6 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	Feb,1995
2	2000	7 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	Feb-March,2000
3	2002	8 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	Feb,2002
4	2007	9 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	Feb,2007
5	2012	10 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	Jan-Mar,2012
6	2017	11 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	March, 2017
7	2022	12 th Manipur Legislative Assembly	Feb-March,2022

Sources: Election Commission of India, State Election, Legislative Assembly of Manipur Reports for 1995,2000,2002,2007,2012,2017, and 2022

Therefore, we find that there are two sets of Ministers/MLAs in election year as (i) set of Ministers/MLAs before election and (ii) set of Ministers/MLAs after Election. The set of Ministers/MLAs before election covered two-three months whereas set of Ministers/MLAs after Election in election year covered nine-ten months. There could be variation in the Category-wise composition in pre-election period and post-election period.

There was President Rule during June-2001 to first week of March 2002. The set of Ministers after Election in this election year covered about ten months. Due to approximation, tenure of MLAs and Ministers were taken as ten months. Duration under PR periods were excluded in calculation.

b. Administrative Secretaries, and Head of Departments:

In the present study, names appeared in the published Government Diary of Directorate of Information and Public Relations (DIPRs) are considered as the incumbent Heads of Departments and incumbent Administrative Secretaries for the year.

Here, the following situations may arise

- (i) Suppose an incumbent Head of Department of a Department was a tribal as per the Diary records, however, the incumbent was transferred to another post or retired during the midst of the year. Two cases arise: (i) the same post was handled by another tribal till the end of the considered year. Then, there will be no error in assigning the weight for Tribal. However, in another case (ii) if the new incumbent was from Meitei community, then there will be error in the estimation as the score for the year has already been assigned for Tribal.
- (ii) Suppose an incumbent Administrative Secy of a Department was a tribal as per the Diary records, however, the incumbent retired in the midst of the year. Then, there will be error for assigning score for the whole year for Tribal. However, in the present study full score for the year is assigned for Tribal.

Estimation of the scores by different categories:

With the above methodologies and constraints, the Category-wise estimations of scores are calculated as given below.

Category-wise scores of Deputy Commissioners:

There were nine districts from 1999 to 2016 in the state of Manipur. The districts were (i) Imphal East, (ii) Imphal West, (iii) Bishnupur, (iv) Thoubal, (v) Chandel, (vi) Churachandpur, (vii) Senapati, (viii) Tamenglong and (ix) Ukhrul.

However, after creation of 7 new districts, 16 districts were recorded from the year 2017 onwards. The list of the newly created districts were (i) Kangpokpi, (ii) Tengnoupal, (iii) Pherzawl, (iv) Noney, (v) Kamjong, (vi) Jiribam and (vii) Kakching respectively.

Thus, there were nine Deputy Commissioners for nine districts from 1999 to 2016 and sixteen Deputy Commissioners from 2017 till 2023. Total number of months to be covered in a year by Deputy Commissioners for nine districts are 108(12X9) and 192(12x16) for 16 districts respectively. Category-wise status of Deputy Commissioners for the period 1999-2023 are given in Table-3 below.

Table-3: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE DEPUTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in months)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei(M)	Tribal(T)	Meitei Pangal (MP)	Manipuri Nepali (MN)	Outsiders(O)	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	DC	37	23	0	1	47	108
2	2000	DC	54	33	0	12	9	108
3	2001	DC	48	32	0	10	18	108
4	2002	DC	42	54	0	3	9	108
5	2003	DC	39	50	0	12	7	108
6	2004	DC	44	37	0	12	15	108
7	2005	DC	34	40	0	12	22	108
8	2006	DC	14	46	0	12	36	108
9	2007	DC	32	24	0	4	48	108
10	2008	DC	36	12	0	0	60	108
11	2009	DC	40	13	0	0	55	108
12	2010	DC	48	18	0	0	42	108
13	2011	DC	47	22	0	0	39	108
14	2012	DC	48	15	0	0	45	108
15	2013	DC	48	24	0	0	36	108
16	2014	DC	50	25	0	0	33	108
17	2015	DC	60	24	0	0	24	108
18	2016	DC	60	28	0	0	20	108
19	2017	DC	98	53	0	0	41	192
20	2018	DC	79	53	0	0	60	192
21	2019	DC	84	53	0	0	55	192
22	2020	DC	102	60	0	0	30	192
23	2021	DC	100	64	0	0	28	192
24	2022	DC	87	62	0	0	43	192
25	2023	DC	85	53	0	0	54	192
		Total	1416	918	0	78	876	3288
		Total (in pc)	43.07	27.92	0.00	2.37	26.64	100.00

Source: Compiled from the published and unpublished records of Govt of Manipur

From the above Table-3, it is seen that during the period 1999-2023, of the total months available for Deputy Commissioners, 43.07% was covered by Meitei (M), 27.92% was covered by Tribal(T), 2.37 % was covered by Manipuri Nepali (MN) and, 26.64% was covered by Outsiders(O).

Table-3.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF DEPUTY COMMISSIONERS, MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei(M)	Tribal(T)	Meitei Pangal (MP)	Manipuri Nepali (MN)	Outsiders(O)	Total
		Weight assigned/head per month	2	2	2	2	2	2
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	DC	74	46	0	2	94	216
2	2000	DC	108	66	0	24	18	216
3	2001	DC	96	64	0	20	36	216
4	2002	DC	84	108	0	6	18	216
5	2003	DC	78	100	0	24	14	216
6	2004	DC	88	74	0	24	30	216
7	2005	DC	68	80	0	24	44	216
8	2006	DC	28	92	0	24	72	216
9	2007	DC	64	48	0	8	96	216
10	2008	DC	72	24	0	0	120	216
11	2009	DC	80	26	0	0	110	216
12	2010	DC	96	36	0	0	84	216
13	2011	DC	94	44	0	0	78	216
14	2012	DC	96	30	0	0	90	216
15	2013	DC	96	48	0	0	72	216
16	2014	DC	100	50	0	0	66	216
17	2015	DC	120	48	0	0	48	216
18	2016	DC	120	56	0	0	40	216
19	2017	DC	196	106	0	0	82	384
20	2018	DC	158	106	0	0	120	384
21	2019	DC	168	106	0	0	110	384
22	2020	DC	204	120	0	0	60	384
23	2021	DC	200	128	0	0	56	384
24	2022	DC	174	124	0	0	86	384
25	2023	DC	170	106	0	0	108	384
		Total	2832	1836	0	156	1752	6576
		Total (in pc)	43.07	27.92	0.00	2.37	26.64	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-3

From the above Table-3.1, it is seen that during the period 1999-2023, of the total scores of Deputy Commissioners, 43.07% was shared by Meitei (M), 27.92% was shared by Tribal(T), 2.37 % was shared by Manipuri Nepali (MN) and, 26.64% was shared by Outsiders(O).

Category-wise scores of Heads of Departments:

Twenty-eight departments are chosen in the study. List of the selected departments is given in the **Annexure**. Departments with higher annual plan provisions are selected. Departments with small/marginal plan provisions are excluded. The total annual plan provision of the selected 28 departments accounts more than 90% of the total annual plan provision. In some years, it was found that the number of Heads of Departments were more than 28. This was due to bifurcation of some Departments into two categories viz (i) Chief Engineer, PHED (Rural) and (ii) Chief Engineer, PHED(Urban) for some years, etc. The status of category-wise selected heads of Departments of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 is given below in Table-4.

Table-4: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	HoD	21	5	2	0	1	29
2	2000	HoD	21	5	2	0	1	29
3	2001	HoD	21	5	2	0	1	29
4	2002	HoD	21	5	2	0	1	29
5	2003	HoD	24	2	1	0	1	28
6	2004	HoD	25	1	1	0	1	28
7	2005	HoD	23	0	1	0	4	28
8	2006	HoD	23	2	1	0	2	28
9	2007	HoD	25	2	1	0	0	28
10	2008	HoD	24	2	1	0	1	28
11	2009	HoD	23	3	2	0	0	28
12	2010	HoD	21	6	0	0	1	28
13	2011	HoD	21	6	0	1	0	28
14	2012	HoD	20	6	0	1	1	28
15	2013	HoD	20	7	0	0	2	29
16	2014	HoD	21	6	0	0	2	29
17	2015	HoD	21	5	0	0	4	30
18	2016	HoD	22	5	0	0	3	30
19	2017	HoD	23	6	0	0	2	31
20	2018	HoD	23	5	0	0	2	30
21	2019	HoD	25	4	0	0	2	31
22	2020	HoD	24	4	0	0	2	30
23	2021	HoD	25	4	0	0	1	30
24	2022	HoD	23	6	0	0	1	30
25	2023	HoD	22	6	1	0	1	30
		Total	562	108	17	2	37	726
		Total (in pc)	77.41	14.88	2.34	0.27	5.10	100.00

Sources:

- (i) Government of Manipur, Directorate of Information & Public Relations: Manipur Diaries
(ii) Records of State Government Departments

Notes:

- (i) Positions of HoDs for 2002 are adopted for 1999,2000 and 2001
(ii) Increase in number of HoDs has been due to bifurcation of the Deptts
(iii) Positions of HoDs for 2012 are adopted based on 2011 and 2013

From the above Table-4, it is found that during the period 1999-2023, 77.41% of the Heads of the Departments of the chosen departments were from Meitei community, followed by 14.88 % from the Tribal community and 5.10 % from the Outsiders in the order.

Table-4.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
		Weight assigned/head per year	24(2x12)	24(2x12)	24(2x12)	24(2x12)	24(2x12)	24(2x12)
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	HoD	504	120	48	0	24	696
2	2000	HoD	504	120	48	0	24	696
3	2001	HoD	504	120	48	0	24	696
4	2002	HoD	504	120	48	0	24	696
5	2003	HoD	576	48	24	0	24	672
6	2004	HoD	600	24	24	0	24	672
7	2005	HoD	552	0	24	0	96	672
8	2006	HoD	552	48	24	0	48	672
9	2007	HoD	600	48	24	0	0	672
10	2008	HoD	576	48	24	0	24	672
11	2009	HoD	552	72	48	0	0	672
12	2010	HoD	504	144	0	0	24	672
13	2011	HoD	504	144	0	24	0	672
14	2012	HoD	480	144	0	24	24	672
15	2013	HoD	480	168	0	0	48	696
16	2014	HoD	504	144	0	0	48	696
17	2015	HoD	504	120	0	0	96	720
18	2016	HoD	528	120	0	0	72	720
19	2017	HoD	552	144	0	0	48	744
20	2018	HoD	552	120	0	0	48	720
21	2019	HoD	600	96	0	0	48	744
22	2020	HoD	576	96	0	0	48	720
23	2021	HoD	600	96	0	0	24	720
24	2022	HoD	552	144	0	0	24	720
25	2023	HoD	528	144	24	0	24	720
		Total	13488	2592	408	48	888	17424
		Total (in pc)	77.41	14.88	2.34	0.27	5.10	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-4

From the above Table-4.1, it is found that during the period 1999-2023, of the total scores of HoDs of selected departments, 77.41% of the scores were shared by Meitei community, 14.88 % by the Tribal community and 5.10 % by the Outsiders in the order.

Category-wise scores of Administrative Secretaries:

In the present study, Secretary/Commissioner/Principal Secretary/ Adl Chief Secretary are assigned same weightage and categorised as Administrative Secretaries. The status of category-wise Administrative Secretaries of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 is given in the Table-5 below.

Table-5: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARIES OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Adm Secy	9	5	2	0	8	24
2	2000	Adm Secy	9	5	2	0	8	24
3	2001	Adm Secy	9	8	2	0	7	26
4	2002	Adm Secy	8	8	1	0	6	23
5	2003	Adm Secy	10	6	1	0	4	21
6	2004	Adm Secy	9	8	1	0	6	24
7	2005	Adm Secy	9	6	1	0	10	26
8	2006	Adm Secy	9	6	1	0	9	25
9	2007	Adm Secy	9	6	2	0	9	26
10	2008	Adm Secy	10	6	2	1	7	26
11	2009	Adm Secy	9	7	2	1	6	25
12	2010	Adm Secy	12	10	2	1	5	30
13	2011	Adm Secy	8	9	2	1	5	25
14	2012	Adm Secy	6	7	0	1	5	19
15	2013	Adm Secy	7	10	0	0	8	25
16	2014	Adm Secy	8	7	0	0	9	24
17	2015	Adm Secy	10	10	0	0	9	29
18	2016	Adm Secy	7	8	0	0	11	26
19	2017	Adm Secy	8	6	0	0	10	24
20	2018	Adm Secy	6	4	0	0	12	22
21	2019	Adm Secy	11	5	0	0	8	24
22	2020	Adm Secy	8	3	0	0	6	17
23	2021	Adm Secy	9	4	0	0	4	17
24	2022	Adm Secy	9	5	0	0	6	20
25	2023	Adm Secy	11	5	0	0	6	22
		Total	220	164	21	5	184	594
		Total (in pc)	37.04	27.61	3.53	0.84	30.98	100.00

Sources: Government of Manipur, Directorate of Information & Public Relations: Manipur Diaries

Note: Positions of Administrative Secretaries for 2000 are adopted for 1999

From the above Table-5, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 37.04 % of the Administrative Secretaries were from Meitei community, 27.61% from the Tribal community and 30.98% from the Outsiders. Meitei Pangal shared 3.53% and Manipuri Nepali shared 0.84% during the period.

Table-5.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARIES OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
		Weight assigned/head per year	48(12x4)	48(12x4)	48(12x4)	48(12x4)	48(12x4)	48(12x4)
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Adm Secretaries	432	240	96	0	384	1152
2	2000	Adm Secretaries	432	240	96	0	384	1152
3	2001	Adm Secretaries	432	384	96	0	336	1248
4	2002	Adm Secretaries	384	384	48	0	288	1104
5	2003	Adm Secretaries	480	288	48	0	192	1008
6	2004	Adm Secretaries	432	384	48	0	288	1152
7	2005	Adm Secretaries	432	288	48	0	480	1248
8	2006	Adm Secretaries	432	288	48	0	432	1200
9	2007	Adm Secretaries	432	288	96	0	432	1248
10	2008	Adm Secretaries	480	288	96	48	336	1248
11	2009	Adm Secretaries	432	336	96	48	288	1200
12	2010	Adm Secretaries	576	480	96	48	240	1440
13	2011	Adm Secretaries	384	432	96	48	240	1200
14	2012	Adm Secretaries	288	336	0	48	240	912
15	2013	Adm Secretaries	336	480	0	0	384	1200
16	2014	Adm Secretaries	384	336	0	0	432	1152
17	2015	Adm Secretaries	480	480	0	0	432	1392
18	2016	Adm Secretaries	336	384	0	0	528	1248
19	2017	Adm Secretaries	384	288	0	0	480	1152
20	2018	Adm Secretaries	288	192	0	0	576	1056
21	2019	Adm Secretaries	528	240	0	0	384	1152
22	2020	Adm Secretaries	384	144	0	0	288	816
23	2021	Adm Secretaries	432	192	0	0	192	816
24	2022	Adm Secretaries	432	240	0	0	288	960
25	2023	Adm Secretaries	528	240	0	0	288	1056
		Total	10560	7872	1008	240	8832	28512
		Total (in pc)	37.04	27.61	3.53	0.84	30.98	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-5

From the above Table-5.1, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 37.04 % of the scores of the Administrative Secretaries were from Meitei community, 27.61% from the Tribal community and 30.98% from the Outsiders. Meitei Pangal scored 3.53% and Manipuri Nepali scored 0.84% during the period.

Category-wise scores of Chief Secretary:

The status of category-wise Chief Secretaries of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 is given in the Table-6 below.

Table-6: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE CHIEF SECRETARIES OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in months)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Chief Secy	0	12	0	0	0	12
2	2000	Chief Secy	0	4	0	0	8	12
3	2001	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
4	2002	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
5	2003	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
6	2004	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
7	2005	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
8	2006	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
9	2007	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
10	2008	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
11	2009	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
12	2010	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
13	2011	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
14	2012	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
15	2013	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
16	2014	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
17	2015	Chief Secy	3	0	0	0	9	12
18	2016	Chief Secy	12	0	0	0	0	12
19	2017	Chief Secy	6	0	0	0	6	12
20	2018	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
21	2019	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
22	2020	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
23	2021	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
24	2022	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
25	2023	Chief Secy	0	0	0	0	12	12
		Total	21	16	0	0	263	300
		Total (in pc)	7.00	5.33	0	0	87.67	100

Source: Compiled from the published and unpublished records of Govt of Manipur

From the above Table-6, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that of the total months available for Chief Secretary, 7.00 % of the total months were covered by Meitei community, 5.33% by the Tribal community and 87.67 % by the Outsiders.

Table-6.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF CHIEF SECRETARIES OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
		Weight assigned per head per month	8	8	8	8	8	8
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Chief Secretary	0	96	0	0	0	96
2	2000	Chief Secretary	0	32	0	0	64	96
3	2001	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
4	2002	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
5	2003	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
6	2004	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
7	2005	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
8	2006	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
9	2007	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
10	2008	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
11	2009	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
12	2010	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
13	2011	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
14	2012	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
15	2013	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
16	2014	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
17	2015	Chief Secretary	24	0	0	0	72	96
18	2016	Chief Secretary	96	0	0	0	0	96
19	2017	Chief Secretary	48	0	0	0	48	96
20	2018	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
21	2019	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
22	2020	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
23	2021	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
24	2022	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
25	2023	Chief Secretary	0	0	0	0	96	96
		Total	168	128	0	0	2104	2400
		Total (in pc)	7.00	5.33	0	0	87.67	100

Source: Calculated from Table-6

From the above Table-6.1, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 7.00 % of the scores of Chief Secretaries were from Meitei community, 5.33% from the Tribal community and 87.67 % from the Outsiders.

Category-wise scores of MLAs:

The status of category-wise MLAs of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 is given below in Table-7.

Table-7: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE MLAs OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	MLA	37	20	3			60
2	2000	MLA	39	20	1			60
3	2001	MLA	39	20	1			60
4	2002	MLA	37	20	3			60
5	2003	MLA	37	20	3			60
6	2004	MLA	37	20	3			60
7	2005	MLA	37	20	3			60
8	2006	MLA	37	20	3			60
9	2007	MLA	38	20	2			60
10	2008	MLA	38	20	2			60
11	2009	MLA	38	20	2			60
12	2010	MLA	38	20	2			60
13	2011	MLA	38	20	2			60
14	2012	MLA	37	20	3			60
15	2013	MLA	37	20	3			60
16	2014	MLA	37	20	3			60
17	2015	MLA	37	20	3			60
18	2016	MLA	37	20	3			60
19	2017	MLA	37	20	3			60
20	2018	MLA	37	20	3			60
21	2019	MLA	37	20	3			60
22	2020	MLA	37	20	3			60
23	2021	MLA	37	20	3			60
24	2022	MLA	37	20	3			60
25	2023	MLA	37	20	3			60
		Total	934	500	66			1500
		Total (in pc)	62.27	33.33	4.40			100

Sources: Election Commission of India, State Election, Legislative Assembly of Manipur Reports for 1995,2000,2002,2007,2012,2017, and 2022

Notes:

1. 2000,2002,2007,2012,2017 and 2022 were election years.
2. List in election years reflects post-election members

From the above Table-7, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 62.27 % of the MLAs were from Meitei community, 33.33% from the Tribal community and 4.4 % from Meitei Pangals.

**Table-7.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF MLAs OF
MANIPUR (1999-2023)**

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
		Weight assigned /head per year	120(10X12)	120(10X12)	120(10X12)	120(10X12)	120(10X12)	120(10X12)
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
2	2000	MLA	4640	2400	160	0	0	7200
3	2001	MLA	1950	1000	50	0	0	3000
4	2002	MLA	3700	2000	300	0	0	6000
5	2003	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
6	2004	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
7	2005	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
8	2006	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
9	2007	MLA	4540	2400	260	0	0	7200
10	2008	MLA	4560	2400	240	0	0	7200
11	2009	MLA	4560	2400	240	0	0	7200
12	2010	MLA	4560	2400	240	0	0	7200
13	2011	MLA	4560	2400	240	0	0	7200
14	2012	MLA	4460	2400	340	0	0	7200
15	2013	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
16	2014	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
17	2015	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
18	2016	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
19	2017	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
20	2018	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
21	2019	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
22	2020	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
23	2021	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
24	2022	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
25	2023	MLA	4440	2400	360	0	0	7200
		Total	108570	58200	7830	0	0	174600
		Total (in pc)	62.18	33.33	4.49	0	0	100

Source: Calculated from Table-7

Notes:

- i. PR period from 02-June-2001 to 06-March-2002 excluded
- ii. For 2001, effective months for MLA was 5 months
- iii. For 2002, effective months for MLA was 10 months

From the above Table-7.1, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 62.18 % of the scores of MLAs were from Meitei community, 33.33% were from the Tribal community and 4.49 % from Meitei Pangal community.

Category-wise scores of Ministers:

The status of category-wise Ministers of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 is given below in Table-8.

Table-8: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE MINISTERS OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: Nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Cabinet Ministers	16	6				22
1.1	1999	State Ministers	2	1	1			4
2	2000	Cabinet Ministers	15	6				21
2.1	2000	State Ministers	5	4	1			10
3	2001	Cabinet Ministers	15	6				21
3.1	2001	State Ministers	5	4	1			10
4	2002	Cabinet Ministers	9	5	1			15
4.1	2002	State Ministers	9	7	2			18
5	2003	Cabinet Ministers	9	5	1			15
5.1	2003	State Ministers	9	7	2			18
6	2004	Cabinet Ministers	14	9	1			24
6.1	2004	State Ministers	3	3	2			8
7	2005	Cabinet Ministers	6	4				10
7.1	2005	State Ministers			1			1
8	2006	Cabinet Ministers	6	4				10
8.1	2006	State Ministers			1			1
9	2007	Cabinet Ministers	7	3	1			11
10	2008	Cabinet Ministers	7	3	1			11
11	2009	Cabinet Ministers	7	3	1			11
12	2010	Cabinet Ministers	7	3	1			11

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
13	2011	Cabinet Ministers	7	3	1			11
14	2012	Cabinet Ministers	6	4	1			11
15	2013	Cabinet Ministers	6	4	1			11
16	2014	Cabinet Ministers	6	4	1			11
17	2015	Cabinet Ministers	6	4	1			11
18	2016	Cabinet Ministers	6	4	1			11
19	2017	Cabinet Ministers	6	5				11
20	2018	Cabinet Ministers	6	5				11
21	2019	Cabinet Ministers	6	5				11
22	2020	Cabinet Ministers	6	5				11
23	2021	Cabinet Ministers	6	4				10
24	2022	Cabinet Ministers	7	4				11
25	2023	Cabinet Ministers	7	4				11

Sources: Government of Manipur, Directorate of Information & Public Relations: Manipur Diaries

Notes:

- i. Election years were 2000,2002,2007,2012,2017 and 2022
- ii. Post election Ministerial lists are shown
- iii. List of 10 Ministers for 2021 is strictly as per DIPR-2021 Diary record

**Table-8.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF MINISTERS OF
MANIPUR (1999-2023)**

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
Weight assigned/head/month								
		Cabinet Min	16	16	16	16	16	16
		State Min	12	12	12	12	12	12
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Ministers	3360	1296	144	0	0	4800
2	2000	Ministers	3560	1656	144	0	0	5360
3	2001	Ministers	1500	720	60	0	0	2280
4	2002	Ministers	2520	1640	400	0	0	4560
5	2003	Ministers	3024	1968	480	0	0	5472
6	2004	Ministers	3120	2160	480	0	0	5760
7	2005	Ministers	1152	768	144	0	0	2064
8	2006	Ministers	1152	768	144	0	0	2064
9	2007	Ministers	1312	608	184	0	0	2104
10	2008	Ministers	1344	576	192	0	0	2112
11	2009	Ministers	1344	576	192	0	0	2112
12	2010	Ministers	1344	576	192	0	0	2112
13	2011	Ministers	1344	576	192	0	0	2112
14	2012	Ministers	1184	736	192	0	0	2112
15	2013	Ministers	1152	768	192	0	0	2112
16	2014	Ministers	1152	768	192	0	0	2112
17	2015	Ministers	1152	768	192	0	0	2112
18	2016	Ministers	1152	768	192	0	0	2112
19	2017	Ministers	1152	928	32	0	0	2112
20	2018	Ministers	1152	960	0	0	0	2112
21	2019	Ministers	1152	960	0	0	0	2112
22	2020	Ministers	1152	960	0	0	0	2112
23	2021	Ministers	1152	768	0	0	0	1920
24	2022	Ministers	1280	768	0	0	0	2048
25	2023	Ministers	1344	768	0	0	0	2112
		Total	40252	23808	3940	0	0	68000
		Total (in pc)	59.194	35.012	5.794	0.00	0.00	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-8

From the above Table-8.1, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 59.19 % of the scores of Ministers were shared by Meitei community, 35.01% by the Tribal community and 5.79 % by Meitei Pangal community.

Category-wise scores of Chief Ministers:

The status of category-wise Chief Ministers of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 is given below in Table-9.

Table-9: STATUS OF CATEGORY-WISE CHIEF MINISTERS OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in months)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Chief Minister	12					12
2	2000	Chief Minister	12					12
3	2001	Chief Minister	5					5
4	2002	Chief Minister	10					10
5	2003	Chief Minister	12					12
6	2004	Chief Minister	12					12
7	2005	Chief Minister	12					12
8	2006	Chief Minister	12					12
9	2007	Chief Minister	12					12
10	2008	Chief Minister	12					12
11	2009	Chief Minister	12					12
12	2010	Chief Minister	12					12
13	2011	Chief Minister	12					12
14	2012	Chief Minister	12					12
15	2013	Chief Minister	12					12
16	2014	Chief Minister	12					12
17	2015	Chief Minister	12					12
18	2016	Chief Minister	12					12
19	2017	Chief Minister	12					12
20	2018	Chief Minister	12					12
21	2019	Chief Minister	12					12
22	2020	Chief Minister	12					12
23	2021	Chief Minister	12					12
24	2022	Chief Minister	12					12
25	2023	Chief Minister	12					12
		Total	291	0	0	0	0	291
		(Total in %)	100					100

Sources: Government of Manipur, Directorate of Information & Public Relations: Manipur Diaries

Note: PR period from 02/June/2001 to 06/March/2002 excluded. However, counted as 2 months

From the above Table-9, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 100.00 % of the Chief Ministers were from Meitei community.

Table-9.1: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES OF CHIEF MINISTERS OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
		Weight assigned/head/month	32	32	32	32	32	32
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
2	2000	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
3	2001	Chief Minister	160	0	0	0	0	160
4	2002	Chief Minister	320	0	0	0	0	320
5	2003	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
6	2004	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
7	2005	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
8	2006	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
9	2007	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
10	2008	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
11	2009	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
12	2010	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
13	2011	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
14	2012	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
15	2013	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
16	2014	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
17	2015	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
18	2016	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
19	2017	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
20	2018	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
21	2019	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
22	2020	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
23	2021	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
24	2022	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
25	2023	Chief Minister	384	0	0	0	0	384
		Total	9312	0	0	0	0	9312
		Total (in pc)	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-9

From the above Table-9.1, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that 100.00 % of the scores of Chief Ministers were shared by Meitei community.

Yearly category-wise scores:

The status of yearly scores by all category of Manipur for the period 1999-2023 in aggregate terms and in percentage terms are given in Table-10 and Table-10.1 below.

Table-10: YEARLY SCORES BY ALL CATEGORIES OF MANIPUR (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	All Categories	9194	4198	648	2	502	14544
2	2000	All Categories	9628	4514	448	24	490	15104
3	2001	All Categories	4642	2288	254	20	492	7696
4	2002	All Categories	7512	4252	796	6	426	12992
5	2003	All Categories	8982	4804	912	24	326	15048
6	2004	All Categories	9064	5042	912	24	438	15480
7	2005	All Categories	7028	3536	576	24	716	11880
8	2006	All Categories	6988	3596	576	24	648	11832
9	2007	All Categories	7332	3392	564	8	624	11920
10	2008	All Categories	7416	3336	552	48	576	11928
11	2009	All Categories	7352	3410	576	48	494	11880
12	2010	All Categories	7464	3636	528	48	444	12120
13	2011	All Categories	7270	3596	528	72	414	11880
14	2012	All Categories	6892	3646	532	72	450	11592
15	2013	All Categories	6888	3864	552	0	600	11904
16	2014	All Categories	6964	3698	552	0	642	11856
17	2015	All Categories	7104	3816	552	0	648	12120
18	2016	All Categories	7056	3728	552	0	640	11976
19	2017	All Categories	7156	3866	392	0	658	12072
20	2018	All Categories	6974	3778	360	0	840	11952
21	2019	All Categories	7272	3802	360	0	638	12072
22	2020	All Categories	7140	3720	360	0	492	11712
23	2021	All Categories	7208	3584	360	0	368	11520
24	2022	All Categories	7262	3676	360	0	494	11792
25	2023	All Categories	7394	3658	384	0	516	11952
		All Categories	185182	94436	13186	444	13576	306824
		Total(in %)	60.35	30.78	4.30	0.15	4.42	100

Source: Calculated from Tables 3.1,4.1,5.1,6.1,7.1,8.1and 9.1

From the above Table-10, for the period 1999-2023, it is seen that of the total scores, Meitei community shared 60.35%, Tribal community shared 30.78 %, Meitei Pangals shared 4.30 %, Manipuri Nepali shared 0.15 % and Outsiders shared 4.42 %.

**Table-10.1: YEARLY SCORES BY ALL CATEGORIES OF
MANIPUR (1999-2023)**

(Unit: in pc)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	1999	All Categories	63.22	28.86	4.46	0.01	3.45	100
2	2000	All Categories	63.74	29.89	2.97	0.16	3.24	100
3	2001	All Categories	60.32	29.73	3.30	0.26	6.39	100
4	2002	All Categories	57.82	32.73	6.13	0.05	3.28	100
5	2003	All Categories	59.69	31.92	6.06	0.16	2.17	100
6	2004	All Categories	58.55	32.57	5.89	0.16	2.83	100
7	2005	All Categories	59.16	29.76	4.85	0.20	6.03	100
8	2006	All Categories	59.06	30.39	4.87	0.20	5.48	100
9	2007	All Categories	61.51	28.46	4.73	0.07	5.23	100
10	2008	All Categories	62.17	27.97	4.63	0.40	4.83	100
11	2009	All Categories	61.89	28.70	4.85	0.40	4.16	100
12	2010	All Categories	61.58	30.00	4.36	0.40	3.66	100
13	2011	All Categories	61.20	30.27	4.44	0.61	3.48	100
14	2012	All Categories	59.45	31.45	4.59	0.62	3.88	100
15	2013	All Categories	57.86	32.46	4.64	0.00	5.04	100
16	2014	All Categories	58.74	31.19	4.66	0.00	5.41	100
17	2015	All Categories	58.61	31.49	4.55	0.00	5.35	100
18	2016	All Categories	58.92	31.13	4.61	0.00	5.34	100
19	2017	All Categories	59.28	32.02	3.25	0.00	5.45	100
20	2018	All Categories	58.35	31.61	3.01	0.00	7.03	100
21	2019	All Categories	60.24	31.49	2.98	0.00	5.28	100
22	2020	All Categories	60.96	31.76	3.07	0.00	4.20	100
23	2021	All Categories	62.57	31.11	3.13	0.00	3.19	100
24	2022	All Categories	61.58	31.17	3.05	0.00	4.19	100
25	2023	All Categories	61.86	30.61	3.21	0.00	4.32	100
	(99-23)	All Categories	60.35	30.78	4.30	0.15	4.42	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-10

From the Table-10.1, it is seen that power shared by Meitei community was lowest with 57.82% in the year 2002 and highest with 63.74% in the year 2000. For the Tribal community lowest was with 27.97% in the year 2008 and highest was with 32.73 % in the year 2002.

Hierarchy -wise scores:

Hierarchy-wise scores by all Categories are given in the Table-11 and Table-11.1 given below.

Table-11: HIERARCHY-WISE SCORES BY THE CATEGORIES (1999-2023)

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	99-23	CM	9312	0	0	0	0	9312
2	99-23	MINISTERs	40252	23808	3940	0	0	68000
3	99-23	MLAs	108570	58200	7830	0	0	174600
4	99-23	CHIEF SECY	168	128	0	0	2104	2400
5	99-23	ADM SECYs	10560	7872	1008	240	8832	28512
6	99-23	HODs	13488	2592	408	48	888	17424
7	99-23	DCs	2832	1836	0	156	1752	6576
	99-23	Total	185182	94436	13186	444	13576	306824
	99-23	(Total in pc)	60.35	30.78	4.30	0.15	4.42	100.00

Source: Calculated from Tables 3.1,4.1,5.1,6.1,7.1,8.1 and 9.1

Table-11.1: HIERARCHY-WISE SCORES BY THE CATEGORIES (1999-2023)

(Unit:in %)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	99-23	CM	3.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.03
2	99-23	MINISTERs	13.12	7.76	1.28	0.00	0.00	22.16
3	99-23	MLAs	35.39	18.97	2.55	0.00	0.00	56.91
4	99-23	CHIEF SECY	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.69	0.78
5	99-23	ADM SECYs	3.44	2.57	0.33	0.08	2.88	9.30
6	99-23	HODs	4.40	0.84	0.13	0.02	0.29	5.68
7	99-23	DCs	0.92	0.60	0.00	0.05	0.57	2.14
	99-23	Total	60.35	30.78	4.30	0.15	4.42	100.00

Source: Calculated from Table-11

From the Table-11.1, it is seen that MLAs scored highest with 56.91% share followed by Ministers sharing 22.16 %. DCs scored 2.14% of share of the power.

Yearly category-wise scores by selected Government functionaries:

It has been attempted to see the pattern of power sharing among the categories by the Government functionaries. The shares contributed by the elected representatives are excluded in this exercise. The scores contributed by (i) Chief Secretaries, (ii) Administrative Secretaries, (iii) Heads of Departments and (iv) Deputy Commissioners for the period 1999-2023 are given in the Table-11.2 below. This Table is a sub-Table of the Table-11.

**Table-11.2: CATEGORY-WISE SCORES BY THE
SELECTED GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONERIES (1999-2023)**

(Unit: in nos)

Sl No	Year	Designation	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Manipuri Nepali	Outsiders	Total
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
1	99-23	CHIEF SECY	168	128	0	0	2104	2400
2	99-23	ADM SECYs	10560	7872	1008	240	8832	28512
3	99-23	HODs	13488	2592	408	48	888	17424
4	99-23	DCs	2832	1836	0	156	1752	6576
	99-23	Total	27048	12428	1416	444	13576	54912
	99-23	(in pc)	49.26	22.63	2.58	0.81	24.72	100.00

Source: Table-11

From the Table-11.2, it is seen that power shared by the Meitei and Tribal for the selected Government functionaries were 49.26 % and 22.63% respectively. Outsiders played a pivotal role in the Government functioning sharing 24.72% which is more than the power shared by Tribals.

Power sharing relationship among the categories:

To examine the relationship among the categories during the period of 25 years (1999-2023) Correlation coefficient Matrix has been worked out as given in the Table-12 below.

Table-12: CORRELATION COEFFICIENT MATRIX

	M	T	MP	MN	O
M	1	0.8698	0.574	0.025	-0.316
T		1	0.678	-0.12	-0.25
MP			1	0.213	-0.316
MN				1	-0.376
O					1

The Correlation Matrix shows that during the period of 25 years the increase of power for Meitei was associated with the increase of power for Tribal. The coefficient of correlation is 0.8698. Similarly, Meitei had positive correlation with Meitei Pangal, the coefficient of correlation being 0.574. However, the increase of power for Meitei was associated with the decrease of power for Outsiders, the coefficient of correlation being -0.316. Similarly, the increase of power for Tribal was associated with the decrease of power for Outsiders, the coefficient of correlation being -0.25.

Power sharing pattern vis-à-vis category-wise population ratio:

The population composition for the period 1951-2011 for Scheduled Tribe, Islam (Meitei Pangal), Sikhism, Jainism and Meitei population(estimated) are given in the Table-13 below. Meitei population is not directly available. However, it has been estimated as Total population- (Scheduled Tribe population +Islam+ Sikhism + Jainism) in the present study.

**Table-13: MEITEI, SCHEDULED TRIBE & MEITEI PANGAL(MP)
POPULATION TREND OF MANIPUR (1951-2011)**

		(unit: nos)						
Sl No	Category	1951	1961	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
	Population(absolute)							
1	Scheduled Tribe population	194239	249049	334466	387977	632173	741141	1167422
2	Total population	577635	780037	1072753	1420953	1837149	2166788	2855794
	of which							
2.1	Islam	37197	48588	70969	99327	133535	190939	239836
2.2	Sikhism	50	523	1028	992	1301	1653	1527
2.3	Jainism	150	778	1408	975	1337	1461	1692
	Meitei population(estimated)							
3	Meitei population(estimated)(2-1-2.1-2.2-2.3)	345999	481099	664882	931682	1068803	1231594	1445317

Sources:

- i. STATISTICAL HANDBOOK OF MANIPUR 2017, PP/32-33 for Total population, Islam, Sikhism, Jainism
- ii. STATISTICAL ABSTRACT MANIPUR 2004, PP /6-7, for ST population for 1951,61,71,81,91, and 2001
- iii. ECONOMIC SURVEY MANIPUR 2019-20, PP/13 for ST population for 2011

**Table-13.1: MEITEI, SCHEDULED TRIBE & MEITEI PANGAL(MP)
POPULATION TREND OF MANIPUR (1951-2011)**

(Unit: in pc)

Sl No	Category	1951	1961	1971	1981	1991	2001	2011
(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)	(vii)	(viii)	(ix)
	Population(percentage)							
1	Scheduled Tribe population	33.63	31.93	31.18	27.30	34.41	34.20	40.88
2	Total population	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	of which							
2.1	Islam	6.44	6.23	6.62	6.99	7.27	8.81	8.40
2.2	Sikhism	0.01	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.05
2.3	Jainism	0.03	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06
	Meitei population(estimated)							
3	Meitei population(estimated)	59.90	61.68	61.98	65.57	58.18	56.84	50.61

Source: Calculated from Table-13

From the Table-13.1 above, it is seen that estimated share of Meitei population shows declining trend whereas share of Scheduled Tribe population shows increasing trend during the period 1951-2011.

Average population shared vis-à-vis Power shared:

The average population share in percent for Meitei, Scheduled Tribe and Meitei Pangal for 1951-2011 are given in the Table-14 below. This average has been adopted to normalise the population ratios.

**Table-14: AVERAGE POPULATION COMPOSITION OF MEITEI,
SCHEDULED TRIBE & MEITEI PANGAL(MP) OF MANIPUR (1951-2011)**

(Unit: in pc)

Sl No	Particulars	Meitei	Tribal	Meitei Pangal	Others	Total
	(i)	(ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(v)	(vi)
1	Average population share	59.25	33.36	7.25	0.14	100.00
2	Power shared	60.35	30.78	4.30	4.57	100.00

Sources: Table-10.1 & Table-13.1

From the Table-14 above, it is seen during 1999-2023 against the population share of 59.25 % by Meitei population, power shared was 60.35%. Similarly, against the population share of 33.36 % by Scheduled Tribe population, power shared during 1999-2023 was 30.78%. Similarly, against the population share of 7.25 % by Meitei Pangal population, power shared was 4.30%.

Findings of the Study:

- i. The percentage share of Meitei population declined during the period 1951 -2011.
- ii. The percentage share of Scheduled Tribe population increased during the period 1951 -2011.
- iii. MLAs shared maximum power with 56.91 % of the total power followed by Ministers sharing 22.16 % of the power. DCs shared with 2.14%.
- iv. Correlation analysis shows that during the period of 25 years (1999-2023), the increase of power for Meitei was associated with the increase of power for Tribal. Similarly, Meitei had positive correlation with Meitei Pangal .
- v. However, Correlation analysis further shows that the increase of power for Meitei was associated with the decrease of power for Outsiders. Similarly, the increase of power for Tribal was associated with the decrease of power for Outsiders.
- vi. The Study shows that against the average population share of 59.25 % by Meitei population the total power shared was 60.35%.
- vii. The Study also shows that against the average population share of 33.36 % by Scheduled Tribe/Tribal population the total power shared was 30.78%.
- viii. Thus, from the study it can be concluded that the power sharing between the Meitei population and Scheduled Tribe population during 1999-2023 was fairly distributed with minor departures from population ratios.
- ix. The study further reveals that Scheduled Tribe of Manipur represented well in the administration of the State Government during the period 1999-2023.
- x. The Outsiders played important pivotal role in the Government administration.

Conclusion of the Study:

- i. Scheduled Tribe community participated well in the Government administration sharing a reasonable power ratio during the period of 25 years(1999-2023).
- ii. The Outsiders played important pivotal role in the Government administration.

LIST OF THE SELECTED DEPARTMENTS

Sl.No.	Name of Dept
1	Agriculture
2	Art and Culture
3	CADA
4	Commerce and Industries
5	Controller of Technical Education
6	Directorate of Education (S)
7	Directorate of Education (U)
8	Electricity Department
9	Family Welfare
10	Fisheries
11	Food & Civil supply
12	Forest Department
13	Horticulture & Soil Conservation
14	Irrigation & Flood Control Department
15	MAHUD
16	Medical & Health
17	Minor Irrigation
18	Minority and Backward Classes
19	Planning Department
20	Public Health Engineering Dept
21	Public Works Department
22	Rural Development & Panchayati Raj
23	Science & Technology
24	Social Welfare
25	Tribal Development
26	Tourism
27	Veterinary & A.H Services
28	Youth Affairs and Sports

References:

- i. Development Planning in Manipur: Pattern of the Flow of State Government Fund to Valley vis-à-vis Hills in Manipur during 2000-01 to 2020-21 by Dr Th Munindro Singh, published in the Journal of Interdisciplinary Cycle Research, Volume XV, Issue X, October/2023
- ii. Government of India, Census of India 1961, DISTRICT CENSUS HANDBOOK, Manipur
- iii. Government of Manipur, Directorate of Information and Public Relations: Manipur Diary ,1997 to 2023
- iv. Government of Manipur, Manipur Legislative Assembly Secretariat, Imphal,2022: LIST OF ELECTED/NOMINATED MEMBERS *From Pre-Merger to 12th Manipur legislative Assembly (1948-2022)*
- v. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: STATISTICAL REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION,1995 TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANIPUR
- vi. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: STATISTICAL REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION,2000 TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANIPUR
- vii. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: STATISTICAL REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION,2002 TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANIPUR
- viii. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: STATISTICAL REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION,2007 TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANIPUR
- ix. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: STATISTICAL REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION,2012 TO THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANIPUR
- x. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: Manipur General Legislative Election 2017, LIST OF SUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES
- xi. Government of India, ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA, NEW DELHI: Manipur General Legislative Election 2022, LIST OF SUCCESSFUL CANDIDATES
- xii. Government of Manipur: Economic Survey Manipur 2020-21
- xiii. Government of Manipur, Government Press: Selected Gazette Copies

- xiv. Government of Manipur: STATISTICAL HANDBOOK OF MANIPUR 2017
- xv. Government of Manipur: STATISTICAL ABSTRACT MANIPUR 2004
- xvi. Government of Manipur: ECONOMIC SURVEY MANIPUR 2019-20
- xvii. Records of different Departments under the Government of Manipur

Author is thankful to (i) Th Yogita Devi, SE/PHED, (ii) Th Harideva Singh, SDC, (iii) Y Brojen Singh, MFS, (iv) Dr RK Radhesana Devi, Jt Dir/Planning, (v) Oinam Chittaranjan Singh, Advocate for their valuable supports in the collection of information/data. The Author is exclusively thankful to M Rama Meitei, employee of DIPR who shouldered the responsibility of collecting DIPR Diaries.